arXiv:0905.1442v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 11 May 2009

On the density matrix for the kink ground state of higher spin XXZ chain

Kohei Motegi

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153

KEYWORDS: XXZ chain, kink ground state, correlation function

The exact computation of the correlation functions of 1D quantum integrable models has been one of the challenging problems. For the spin-1/2 XXZ chain, the correlation functions in the *antiferromagnetic* regime were found to be expressed in the multiple integral form.[1,2] However, the exact evaluation of them is still a hard work, and has been only successful for some special anisotropy parameters.[3]

In the *ferromagnetic* regime, there is a class of non-translationally invariant ground state which should be called as *kink* ground state[4,5]. We have studied the correlation function of the *kink* ground state, and exactly calculated the density matrix[6] (see also ref 7).

The kink ground state also exists for arbitrary spin and dimension. In this paper, we extend the analysis developed in our previous paper to higher spin 1D XXZ chain, and calculate the density matrix (note that the model we consider in this paper is not the *integrable* higher spin XXZ chain[8]).

The Hamiltonian of the spin S 1D infinite XXZ chain is

$$H=-\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}(S_m^xS_{m+1}^x+S_m^yS_{m+1}^y+\Delta(S_m^zS_{m+1}^z-S^2)). \tag{1}$$

We shall consider the ferromagnetic regime $\Delta>1$. For later convenience, we parametrize Δ as $\Delta=(q^{\frac{1}{2}}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}})/2$. Then $\Delta>1$ corresponds to $0<q<1$. A kink ground state is the superposition of kinks which have the same center. For a (normalized) kink state $\otimes_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}|m_x\rangle$ ($m_x\in\{-S,\cdots,S\}$), the center $j-1/2$ ($j\in\mathbb{Z}$) is the position where

$$\sum_{x<j}(S-m_x)=\sum_{x>j}(m_x+S),$$

holds. Let us denote the kink ground state whose center is at $j-\frac{1}{2}$ by $|\Psi_j\rangle$, and introduce the generating function of $|\Psi_j\rangle$[9]

$$|\Psi(z)\rangle=\bigotimes_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}\Big(\sum_{m_x=-S}^{S}(zq^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+x)})^{m_x-S}c(m_x)^{\frac{1}{2}}|m_x\rangle\Big)$$
$$\otimes\bigotimes_{y\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}\Big(\sum_{m_y=-S}^{S}(zq^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+y)})^{m_y+S}c(m_y)^{\frac{1}{2}}|m_y\rangle\Big), \tag{2}$$

where $c(m_x)=(2S)!/(S-m_x)!(S+m_x)!$. $|\Psi_j\rangle$ is the coefficient of z^j of the expansion of $|\Psi(z)\rangle$, i.e,

$$|\Psi(z)\rangle=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}z^j|\Psi_j\rangle. \tag{3}$$

Let us focus on one of the kink ground states $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and calculate the density matrix

$$\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}\rangle:=\frac{\langle\Psi_0|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Psi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}, \tag{4}$$

where $E_x^{\epsilon'_x\epsilon_x}|m_x\rangle=\delta_{m_x,\epsilon_x}|\epsilon'_x\rangle$. x_j is the position of the site where the operator $E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}$ acts on, and is assumed to be $x_j\neq x_k$ for $j\neq k$. We only consider the case when $\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\epsilon_j-\epsilon'_j)=0$ is satisfied: otherwise, the density matrix is zero. We calculate $\langle\Psi(z)|\Psi(z)\rangle$ and $\langle\Psi(z)|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi(z)\rangle$ to obtain the exact expression of the density matrix since

$$\langle\Psi(z)|\Psi(z)\rangle=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}z^{2j}\langle\Psi_j|\Psi_j\rangle, \tag{5}$$

$$\langle\Psi(z)|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi(z)\rangle=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}z^{2j}\langle\Psi_j|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi_j\rangle, \tag{6}$$

holds, and $\langle\Psi_0|\Psi_0\rangle$, $\langle\Psi_0|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi_0\rangle$ can be extracted from $\langle\Psi(z)|\Psi(z)\rangle$ and $\langle\Psi(z)|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi(z)\rangle$, which are easier to calculate. Let us first calculate $\langle\Psi(z)|\Psi(z)\rangle$. Using the Jacobi triplet product identity

$$(q;q)_\infty(-xq^{\frac{1}{2}};q)_\infty(-x^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}};q)_\infty=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}x^jq^{\frac{j^2}{2}}, \tag{7}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Psi(z)|\Psi(z)\rangle=&(-wq^{\frac{1}{2}};q)_\infty^{2S}(-w^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}};q)_\infty^{2S}\\ =&\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^{2S}}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}w^jq^{\frac{j^2}{2}}\Big)^{2S}\\ =&\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^{2S}}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}A_jw^j,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $w=z^2$, $(a;q)_\infty:=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^j)$ and

$$A_j=\sum_{\sum j_k=j,j_k\in\mathbb{Z}}\prod_{k=1}^{2S}q^{\frac{j_k^2}{2}}.$$

Using eq. (7) and the following identity[6)]

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{1+xu_j}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-x)^j\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{u_l^{j+n-1}}{\prod_{i\neq l}(u_l-u_i)}, \qquad (9)$$

$\langle\Psi(z)|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi(z)\rangle$ can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Psi(z)|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi(z)\rangle\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\{(w\zeta_j)^{[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}c(\epsilon_j)c(\epsilon'_j)\}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(1+w\zeta_j)^{2S}}\\
&\times(-wq^{\frac{1}{2}};q)_\infty^{2S}(-w^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}};q)_\infty^{2S}\\
&=\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^{2S}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\{(w\zeta_j)^{[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}c(\epsilon_j)c(\epsilon'_j)\}\\
&\times\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{\substack{j_1\in\mathbb{Z},j_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\\ j_1+j_2=j}}A_{j_1}B_{j_2}\Big)w^j, \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_j=q^{\frac{1}{2}+x_j}$, $[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]=(\epsilon_j+\epsilon'_j+2S)/2$ and

$$B_j=\sum_{\sum j_k=j,j_k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}}\prod_{k=1}^{2S}\left\{(-1)^{j_k}\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{\zeta_l^{j_k+n-1}}{\prod_{i\neq l}(\zeta_l-\zeta_i)}\right\}.$$

From eqs. (8) and (10), one has

$$\langle\Psi_0|\Psi_0\rangle=\frac{A_0}{(q;q)_\infty^{2S}}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi_0|\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}|\Psi_0\rangle&=\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^{2S}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\{\zeta_j^{[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}c(\epsilon_j)c(\epsilon'_j)\}\\
&\times\sum_{\substack{j_1\in\mathbb{Z},j_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\\ j_1+j_2=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}}A_{j_1}B_{j_2}, \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

leading to the exact expression of the density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}\rangle&=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\{\zeta_j^{[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}c(\epsilon_j)c(\epsilon'_j)\}\\
&\times\sum_{\substack{j_1\in\mathbb{Z},j_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\\ j_1+j_2=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}}\frac{A_{j_1}B_{j_2}}{A_0}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

One can check that for $S=1/2$, eq. (13) recovers the result in ref. 6. However, the expression of eq. (13) gets more complicated as the spin becomes higher.

Concentrating on the $S=1$ case, we can derive some slightly easier expression by using

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(1+xu_j)^2}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-x)^jX_{n,j},$$

$$X_{n,j}=\lim_{u_{i+n}\to u_i,i=1,\cdots n}\sum_{l=1}^{2n}\frac{u_l^{j+2n-1}}{\prod_{i\neq l}(u_l-u_i)},$$

which is a special case of eq. (9). The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\prod_{j=1}^{n}E_{x_j}^{\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j}\rangle&=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\{\zeta_j^{[\epsilon'_j\epsilon_j]}c(\epsilon_j)c(\epsilon'_j)\}\\
&\times\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^jX_{n,j}q^{\frac{1}{4}(j+\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\epsilon'_k\epsilon_k])^2}\\
&\times(\delta^{even}_{j+\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\epsilon'_k\epsilon_k]}+C\delta^{odd}_{j+\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\epsilon'_k\epsilon_k]}), \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C=2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}q^{(k-\frac{1}{2})^2}/(1+2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}q^{k^2})$. From eq. (14), the magnetization and the spin-spin correlation functions can be easily calculated.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S_x^z\rangle&=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j(j+1)\zeta^jq^{\frac{j^2}{4}}\\
&\times(\zeta^2q^{j+1}-1)(\delta_j^{even}+C\delta_j^{odd}), \qquad (15)\\
\langle S_{x_1}^zS_{x_2}^z\rangle&=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^jX_{2,j}(\delta_j^{even}+C\delta_j^{odd})\\
&\times(\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2q^{\frac{(j+4)^2}{4}}+q^{\frac{j^2}{4}}-(\zeta_1^2+\zeta_2^2)q^{\frac{(j+2)^2}{4}}), \qquad (16)\\
\langle S_{x_1}^+S_{x_2}^-\rangle&=4\zeta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^jX_{2,j}\\
&\times\{(\delta_j^{even}+C\delta_j^{odd})(\zeta_1+\zeta_2)q^{\frac{(j+2)^2}{4}}\\
&+(\delta_j^{odd}+C\delta_j^{even})(q^{\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}}+\zeta_1\zeta_2q^{\frac{(j+3)^2}{4}})\}, \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_{2,j}=\frac{(j+1)(\zeta_1^{j+3}-\zeta_2^{j+3})-(j+3)\zeta_1\zeta_2(\zeta_1^{j+1}-\zeta_2^{j+1})}{(\zeta_1-\zeta_2)^3}.$$

From these expressions, one can easily show that the spin-spin correlation functions decay exponentially for large distances.

$$\langle S_{x_1}^zS_{x_2}^z\rangle-\langle S_{x_1}^z\rangle\langle S_{x_2}^z\rangle\sim A^{zz}(x_1)q^{x_2+\frac{1}{2}}\ \text{for}\ x_2\gg1, \qquad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{zz}(x_1)&=2\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^jq^{\frac{j^2}{4}}(1-\zeta_1^2q^{j+1})\\
&\times(j\zeta_1^{j-1}+(j+1)q^{\frac{1}{4}}C\zeta_1^j)(\delta_j^{even}+C\delta_j^{odd}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle S_{x_1}^+S_{x_2}^-\rangle\sim A^{+-}(x_1)q^{\frac{1}{2}(x_2+\frac{1}{2})}\ \text{for}\ x_2\gg1, \qquad (19)$$

$$A^{+-}(x_1)=4\zeta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-\zeta_1)^j(j+1)$$

$$\times \{(\delta_j^{even} + C\delta_j^{odd})\zeta_1 q^{\frac{(j+2)^2}{4}} + (\delta_j^{odd} + C\delta_j^{even}) q^{\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}}\}.$$

Acknowledgment

The author thanks K. Sakai for useful discussions and comments on this work. This work was partially supported by Global COE Program (Global Center of Excellence for Physical Sciences Frontier) from MEXT, Japan.

1) M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki, Phys. Lett. A **168** (1992) 256.
2) N. Kitanine, J.M. Maillet, N.A. Slavnov and V. Terras, J Phys. A **35** (2002) L385.
3) J. Sato and M. Shiroishi, Nucl.Phys. B **729** (2005) 441.
4) C.-T. Gottstein and R.F. Werner, e-print cond-mat/9501123.
5) F.C. Alcaraz, S.R. Salinas and W.F. Wreszinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 930.
6) K. Motegi and K. Sakai, Phys. Rev. E **79**, (2009) 031108.
7) R. Dijkgraaf, D. Orlando and S. Reffert, Nucl. Phys. B **811** (2009) 463.
8) L. A. Takhtajan, Phys. Lett. A **87** (1982) 479.
9) T. Koma and B. Nachtergaele, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 533.